

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 30, 2002, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Brown, and Moreno.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Harry Kinney, Supreme Court Marshal.

- S082112 The People, Respondent
 v.
 Richard Hurtado, Appellant
 Cause called. Bradley A. Weinreb, Deputy Attorney General, argued
 for Respondent.
 Chris Truax argued for Appellant.
 Mr. Weinreb replied.
 Cause submitted.
- S092179 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent
 v.
 James Bert Wutzke, Defendant and Appellant
 Cause called. Meagan J. Beale, Deputy Attorney General, argued for
 Respondent.
 Cynthia M. Sorman argued for Appellant.
 Ms. Beale replied.
 Cause submitted.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S085780 The People, Respondent
 v.
 Timothy Crayton, Appellant
 Cause called. Gregory R. Ellis argued for Appellant.
 Jaime L. Fuster, Supervising Deputy Attorney General, argued for
 Respondent.
 Mr. Ellis replied.
 Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S097765 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent
 v.
 Arturo Garcia, Defendant and Appellant
 Cause called. Jaime L. Fuster, Supervising Deputy Attorney General,
 opened argument for Respondent.
 Phyllis C. Asayama, appearing for Amicus Curiae California District
 Attorneys' Association, continued argument for Respondent.
 Maxine Weksler argued for Appellant.
 Ms. Fuster replied.
 Cause submitted.

S086518 Dart Industries Incorporated, Respondent
 v.
 Commercial Union Insurance et al., Appellants
 Cause called. Charles A. Bird argued for Respondent.
 Neil Selman argued for Appellants.
 Mr. Bird replied.
 Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S016730

PEOPLE v. STEELE (RAYMOND)
Opinion Filed: Judgment Affirmed in Full

OPINION BY: Chin, J.
--- Joined by Baxter, Werdegarr, Brown,
Moreno, JJ.
Concurring Opinion: by George, C.J.
Dissenting Opinion: by Kennard, J.

S024116

PEOPLE v. SLAUGHTER (MICHAEL COREY)
Opinion Filed: Judgment Affirmed in Full

Majority Opinion by George, C.J.
--- Joined by Baxter, Werdegarr,
Chin, & Brown, JJ.
Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by
Kennard, J. joined by Moreno, J.

S107096

A098535 First Appellate District,
Division Four

MUSAELIAN v. S.C. (REITER)
Petition for review and application for Stay Denied

S036450

PEOPLE v. KOONTZ (HERBERT H.)
Time Extended to consider Modification or Rehearing

To 8/7/2002, or the date upon which rehearing
is either granted or denied, whichever occurs
first.

S014394

PEOPLE v. LEDESMA (FERMIN R.)
Extension of time Granted.

Too 7/31/2002 to file resp's brief. Dep.
Atty.Gen. Mayer anticipates filing that brief
by 7/31/2002. No further extension wilwl be
granted.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S024833**PEOPLE v. FARLEY (RICHARD)**

Extension of time Granted

To 7/29/2002 to file resp.'s brief. Dep. Atty. Gen. Banister anticipates filing the brief by 10/30/2002. Only two further extensions totaling 93 additional days are contemplated.

S062180**PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (RICHARD)**

Extension of time Granted

To 7/29/2002 to applt. to request correction of the record. Dep. State Public Defender Schonemann anticipates filing the request in the superior court by 11/1/2002. Only two further extensions totaling 95 additional days are contemplated.

S087243**PEOPLE v. BROWN (JOHN GEORGE)**

Extension of time Granted

To 6/26/2002 to file AOB. Counsel anticipates filing that brief by 6/26/2002. No further extension is contemplated.

S091159**SALCIDO ON H.C.**

Extension of time Granted

To 6/27/2002 to file the reply to informal response. Counsel anticipates filing that document by 6/27/2002. No further extensions will be granted.

S101171**MARLOW ON H.C.**

Extension of time Granted

To 6/28/2002 to file the reply to informal response. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 90

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his assisting attorney of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S104807

COFFMAN (CYNTHIA L.) ON H.C.
Extension of time Granted

To 7/3/2002 to file informal response. Dep. Atty. Gen. Ratner anticipates filing that document by 9/16/2002. Only three further extensions totaling 76 additional days are contemplated.

S029011

PEOPLE v. SOLOMON (MORRIS, JR.)
Order Filed

Appellant's "Motion for: 1) Relief From Default; 2) Adoption of Formal Due Date for Filing Appellant's Opening Brief; and 3) Adoption of Long-range Plan for Timely Fulfillment of Counsel's Obligations in All Four of His Capital Cases (*Sapp*, *Solomon*, *George*, and *Coddington*)" is granted in part and denied in part.

Appellant's motion is granted in the following respect:

Appellant is relieved from default for failing to submit his opening brief by the final due date.

Appellant's opening brief is due for filing on or before July 22, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only 6 further extensions totaling 314 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his assisting entity of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

In all other respects, appellant's motion is denied.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON S.)
Order Filed

Appellant's "Motion for Adoption of Due Date for Filing Appellant's Opening Brief as Part of Long-range Plan for Fulfillment of Counsel's Obligations in All Four of His Capital Cases (*Sapp, Solomon, George, and Coddington*)" is granted in part and denied in part.

Appellant's motion is granted in the following respect:

Appellant's opening brief is due for filing on or before June 11, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only 12 further extensions totaling 721 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his assisting entity of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

In all other respects, appellant's motion is denied.

S096127

B135896 Second Appellate District,
Division Seven

ZUCKERMAN v. BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC
EXAMINERS
Request for Judicial Notice Granted

The request for judicial notice filed on November 30, 2001, by the California Academy of Attorneys for Health Care Professionals is granted.

The request for judicial notice filed on October 25, 2001, by the California Medical Association is denied.

C040672 Third Appellate District

TUPOU v. THURMAN
The time for granting review on the court's own motion

extended to and including July 16, 2002. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)